Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SCOTT JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARIA CABRERA,

Defendant.

Case No. <u>5:21-cv-02573-EJD</u>

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE **DISMISSED**

Plaintiff Scott Johnson filed the present action on April 9, 2021. Dkt. No. 1. Pursuant to General Order 56, the parties' last day to conduct a joint site inspection was July 16, 2021 and Plaintiff's last day to file a notice of need for mediation was August 27, 2021. Dkt. No. 5. Plaintiff did not file a notice of need for mediation, nor did Plaintiff seek relief from the August 27, 2021 deadline.

The Court possesses the inherent power to dismiss an action sua sponte "to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-33 (1962). Plaintiff is directed to file a written response to this order by **September 20, 2021** and to appear before the Court on **September 30, 2021, at 10:00 a.m.** and show cause why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). If Plaintiff fails to file a written response by the above deadline, the Court will dismiss the action with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

26

///

///

27

28

Case No.: 5:21-cv-02573-EJD OSC WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

Case 5:21-cv-02573-EJD Document 12 Filed 09/02/21 Page 2 of 2

Northern District of California United States District Court

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 2, 2021

EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge

Case No.: <u>5:21-cv-02573-EJD</u> OSC WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED